

Notice of Non-key Executive Decision

Subject Heading:	Amendment to the Good Growth Fund – Rainham Innovation Hub and Public Realm improvements Grant Agreement cabinet report of June 12 th 2019.
Cabinet Member:	Cllr Damian White
SLT Lead:	Neil Stubbings, Regeneration Programme
Report Author and contact details:	Daniel Moore T: 01708 432761 E:daniel.moore@havering.gov.uk
Policy context:	Havering Corporate Plan 2019/2020. Opportunities: Helping Our Businesses Grow
Financial summary:	<p>The report is seeking approval to amend a cabinet report which initially requested that £250K of funding is forwarded to the London Riverside Business Improvement Districts (LRBID) in financial years 2019/2020 (£50K) and 2020/2021 (£200K).</p> <p>It is requested that the funding to the BID is paid in years 2020/2021 (£50K) in the first quarter and 2021/2022 (£200K).</p> <p>Other amendments to the report include the allocation of funding to delivery partners.</p>
Relevant OSC:	OSC
Is this decision exempt from being called-in?	No

Non-key Executive Decision

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Communities making Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>
Places making Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>
Opportunities making Havering	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Connections making Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>

Place an X in the [] as appropriate

Part A – Report seeking decision

DETAIL OF THE DECISION REQUESTED AND RECOMMENDED ACTION

The Leader is requested to agree to the following amendments to the cabinet report:

- 1) Replace the third recommendation with: “Delegate authority to the Director of Regeneration Delivery to enter into Delivery Agreements with SEGRO, **New City College** and the London Riverside Business Improvement District to draw down delivery funding for the project **£304,000, £567,000** and £250,000 respectively, to deliver elements of the project and achieve agreed outcomes.”
- 2) Replace the fourth recommendation with: “To provide forward funding to the Riverside BID - £50,000 (capital) in **2020/2021** and £200,000 (capital) in **2021/2022** to fund the public realm improvements” updating the years that the forward funding is paid to the LRBID.

AUTHORITY UNDER WHICH DECISION IS MADE

“The Leader of the Council is responsible for arranging for the exercise of all executive functions and may by way of written notice delegate Executive functions to:

1. Cabinet
2. A committee of the Cabinet
3. Individual Cabinet Members
4. Staff
5. Joint Committees

And may exercise any Executive functions personally provided notice is given to the Proper Officer.”

STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Council secured £1.63m through the GLAs Good Growth Fund to create an innovation hub in the Rainham. The reason to amend the cabinet report is to ensure the LRBID receives the forward funding to undertake the public realm improvements and the report accurately reflects the contracts as agreed between Havering and its delivery partners.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

Non-key Executive Decision

No other options were considered.

PRE-DECISION CONSULTATION

There was no pre-decision consultation.

NAME AND JOB TITLE OF STAFF MEMBER ADVISING THE DECISION-MAKER

Name:

Designation:

Signature:

Date:

Non-key Executive Decision

Part B - Assessment of implications and risks

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

This report seeks authority to amend a Cabinet report in respect of entering into delivery agreements with SEGRO, New City College and the London Riverside BID.

Section 1 of the Localism Act 2011 gives the Council a general power of competence. The Council has the power to receive grant funding and to enter into agreements including agreements with others for the delivery of its various projects.

The terms of the delivery agreements reflect the amendments within the recommendations of this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

This decision is requesting approval to update the cabinet report to ensure that LRBID receive forward funding to undertake public realm improvements in financial years 2020/2021 and 2021/2022. The council will submit claims to the GLA following the public realm improvements and claim back the funding.

The forward funding will be paid from cost code: A26795 any outstanding grant claims will be accrued for at the end of the financial year as grant claims are completed at the end of each quarter.

The Riverside BID is a very small organisation and will require forward funding in order to deliver the public realm improvements as they do not have the finances to pay it and claim back retrospective funding. This will mean the Council providing £50,000 to the BID in 2020/21 and £200,000 in 2021/22 and claiming it back from the GLA each quarter once the evidence of spend has been received from them the Riverside BID.

	2020/21				2021/22				
	Q1 £m	Q2 £m	Q3 £m	Q4 £m	Q1 £m	Q2 £m	Q3 £m	Q4 £m	Total £m
Forward Funding	0.050				0.150	0.020	0.030		0.250
Repayment		-0.050			0.000	-0.150	-0.020	-0.030	-0.250
Outstanding	0.050	-0.050	0.000	0.000	0.150	0.020	0.030	0.000	0.000

Other changes to the initial report include amending the figures that will be allocated to the partners this is to ensure that the report accurately reflects the contracts between Havering and its delivery partners. The contract between SEGRO and the council is for £304,000 not £309,400, as stated in the original report, and the contract between the Council and New City College will be £567,800 not £562,800.

HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Non-key Executive Decision

(AND ACCOMMODATION IMPLICATIONS WHERE RELEVANT)

N/A

EQUALITIES AND SOCIAL INCLUSION IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

N/A

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Good Growth Fund – Rainham Innovation Hub and Public Realm improvements Grant Agreement cabinet report of June 12th 2019

Non-key Executive Decision

Part C – Record of decision

I have made this executive decision in accordance with authority delegated to me by the Leader of the Council and in compliance with the requirements of the Constitution.

Decision

Proposal agreed

Delete as applicable

Proposal NOT agreed because

Details of decision maker

Signed

Name:

Cabinet Portfolio held:

CMT Member title:

Head of Service title

Other manager title:

Date:

Lodging this notice

The signed decision notice must be delivered to the proper officer, Debra Marlow, Principal Democratic Services Officer in Democratic Services, in the Town Hall.

For use by Committee Administration

This notice was lodged with me on _____

Signed _____